

MISSOURI ASSOCIATION OF PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS

PRESIDENT Daniel K. Knight

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Jason H. Lamb www.moprosecutors.gov

200 Madison St., Ste. 1060 P.O. Box 899 Jefferson City, MO 65102 T: 573-751-0619 F: 573-751-1171

December 31, 2014

MISSOURI PROSECUTORS RELEASE BEST PRACTICES RECOMMENDATIONS RELATING TO VICTIMS' RIGHTS AND FORENSIC SCIENCES

Media Contacts:	Dan Knight, 573-886-4100
	Eric Zahnd, 816-858-3476
	Jason Lamb, 573-751-0619

The **Missouri Association of Prosecuting Attorneys (MAPA)** has released two new sets of recommended Best Practices for prosecutors. The recommendations relate to the rights of crime victims and investigations involving forensic sciences. The recommendations are the most recent to be released under MAPA's Best Practices Initiative.

"These recommendations reflect the importance that Missouri's prosecutors place on victims' rights and the use of forensic sciences," said **Executive Director Jason Lamb.** "They are common-sense recommendations that will help us fulfill our duties as prosecutors and promote confidence in the criminal justice system."

The first set of new recommendations relates to victims' rights and includes suggestions that prosecutors review case referrals within three business days, meet with crime victims personally prior to the victim testifying, and seek input from the victim prior to making plea recommendations.

"These recommendations respect the basic rights of crime victims which are guaranteed by the Missouri Constitution," said **President Dan Knight, the Boone County Prosecuting Attorney**. "In Missouri, crime victims' rights are enshrined in the Constitution along with defendants' due process rights. Prosecutors are duty bound to protect both of these rights. These recommendations will help us fulfill these duties."

The second set of recommendations suggests that prosecutors meet regularly with law enforcement agencies and crime laboratory personnel to discuss policy issues relating to evidence collection, retention and testing, as well as on specific cases involving serious violent or sexual offenses.

"These recommendations are designed to promote ongoing communication between prosecutors and investigating agencies in complex investigations," said **Eric Zahnd, chair of the MAPA Best Practices Committee and Platte County Prosecuting Attorney.** "Through regular communication and feedback, we can always be working to improve the policies and practices that help us keep the public safe."

The <u>MAPA Best Practices Initiative</u> was launched last year after Missouri's prosecutors studied a similar protocol of the District Attorneys Association of New York. Following the lead of New York and Missouri, several other state prosecutor associations have recently begun to implement similar committees.

###

Missouri Association of Prosecuting Attorneys Best Practices Recommendations Victims' Rights

Note: A best practices recommendation of the Missouri Association of Prosecuting Attorneys is the product of careful consideration by experienced prosecuting attorneys. However, it is only a recommendation. A best practices recommendation may or may not be feasible or desirable to implement in every jurisdiction. There may be other methods in local jurisdictions to reach the same or similar objectives.

RECOMMENDATION: Absent extenuating circumstances, a prosecutor should complete review of any law enforcement referral within three business days.

Commentary: Pursuant to the Missouri Constitution, crime victims have the right to a speedy disposition of their cases. No case can be disposed of in a speedy manner unless the review and charging decision is completed promptly. The review can result in declination of charges, filing of charges, or a request for additional investigation or information to be provided within a specified timeframe. While the statute of limitations is the ultimate time standard under the law, prosecutors should make decisions on cases as soon as practicable.

RECOMMENDATION: Crime victims should be notified at the time a charging decision is made. Crime victims should also be notified if the prosecutor decides to request additional investigation or information from law enforcement prior to making a charging decision. Unless a crime victim's safety or the status of an investigation would be jeopardized, written communication of this decision should be sent to the crime victim's last known address.

Commentary: It may be appropriate in certain cases to notify the victim in person, by phone, or by other means. This recommendation complies with sections 595.209.1 (3) and (10), RSMo.

RECOMMENDATION: A prosecutor should meet with a crime victim each time prior to a crime victim offering testimony. A prosecutor should also meet with a crime victim upon request.

Commentary: No crime victim should ever testify without having had the opportunity to meet with a prosecutor and discuss what will happen prior to testifying. A prosecutor should make time for crime victims to speak their mind, voice any concerns, and ask any questions they may have prior to testifying. A prosecutor should include an additional staff member such as an investigator or victim advocate in meetings with victims. An additional staff member should be present in addition to the prosecutor in the event a statement by a crime victim needs to be reduced to writing and disclosed to the defense. Doing so may avoid the prosecutor becoming a necessary witness in a case.

RECOMMENDATION: A prosecutor should provide crime victims information on how to request the rights provided by the Missouri Constitution and state statutes.

Commentary: Section 32.1(8) of the Missouri Constitution grants crime victims the right to information about how the criminal justice system works. Section 595.209, RSMo sets out the procedure for crime victims to obtain this information, which includes a request in writing. It is fundamentally important to inform victims of their rights to request in writing the benefits of section 595.209, RSMo.

RECOMMENDATION: Notification should be given to crime victims within three business days of the setting of court dates for bond hearings, preliminary hearings, grand jury sessions, depositions, 491 motions, bench or jury trials, guilty pleas, sentencings, and probation revocation hearings. Whenever possible, a prosecutor should ask for a court date or time that accommodates a crime victim's schedule.

RECOMMENDATION: Crime victims should be apprised of the right to be heard at any bond hearing or sentencing hearing. Crime victims should be given the opportunity to meet in person with a prosecutor prior to any bond hearing or sentencing hearing to discuss what they wish to express and the manner in which they wish it to be expressed

Commentary: Some crime victims will wish to express their thoughts in person, by letter to the court, via the prosecutor, or some other manner. Prosecutors should make every reasonable effort to accommodate crime victims' wishes in this regard.

RECOMMENDATION: A prosecutor should make a reasonable effort to seek input from a crime victim about the disposition of a case prior to making a plea recommendation.

Commentary: Missouri law provides crime victims the right to be heard, and good communication with a crime victim is critical so that a prosecutor can make an informed decision regarding disposition of a case by plea. Relevant factors for discussion could include: (1) the range of punishment; (2) factors that impact a plea recommendation; and (3) a reasonable effort to gather information from a crime victim.

Missouri Association of Prosecuting Attorneys Best Practices Recommendations Forensic Sciences

Note: A best practices recommendation of the Missouri Association of Prosecuting Attorneys is the product of careful consideration by experienced prosecuting attorneys. However, it is only a recommendation. A best practices recommendation may or may not be feasible or desirable to implement in every jurisdiction. There may be other methods in local jurisdictions to reach the same or similar objectives.

RECOMMENDATION: Prosecutors, law enforcement agencies and crime laboratory personnel should conduct quarterly meetings to discuss policy issues relating to evidence collection, retention and testing, and any other issues affecting the working relationship of these agencies. Attendees at these meetings should be high-level administrators with broad authority. In order to make the meetings manageable and productive, prosecutors, sheriffs and police departments should select representatives from their Associations within Highway Patrol Troop jurisdictions to represent their respective disciplines. For example, the prosecutors within a particular Highway Patrol Troop should select at least one prosecutor to represent them at these meetings.

RECOMMENDATION: Prosecutors, law enforcement agencies and crime laboratory analysts who will conduct the testing should meet in person or via telephone conference as soon as practicable in any cases involving serious violent or sexual offenses where significant forensic evidence may exist to discuss the facts of the case and make evidence selection and testing decisions.

Commentary: The timing of these meetings may vary depending on the size and resources of various jurisdictions, and whether or not charges have been filed. Individual jurisdictions should communicate internally to determine what works best on an ad hoc basis.